# 2014 - 2015

# FIRST FINANCIAL INTEGRITY RATING



**RATING YEAR 2015-2016** 

Select An Option

Help

Honie



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

# 2015-2016 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2014-2015 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: WAXAHACHIE ISD(070912)		Publication Level 1: 8/8/2016 6:20:16 PM			
Status: Passed		Publication Level 2: 8/8/2016 6:20:16 PM			
Ratii	ng: A = Superior	Last Updated: 8/8/2016 6:20:16 PM	Last Updated: 8/8/2016 6:20:16 PM		
Distr	rict Score: 92	Passing Score: 31			
#	Indicator Description		Updated	Score	
1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?		3/16/2016 3:29:57 PM	Yes	
2	#하게 된 이번 경험이 하는 사람이 되어 되었습니다. 그렇게 하다면 하지만 하는 것이다. 보고 있는 것이 없는 것이 없는 사람이 되었다면 하다. 그렇지 때	nd material weaknesses. The school district must pass a fails indicator number 2 if it responds "No" to 2.8.			
2.A	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)		3/16/2016 3:29:57 PM	Yes	
2.B	Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)			Yes	
3	Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)		3/16/2016 3:29:57 PM	Yes	
4	Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?		3/16/2016 3:29:58 PM	Yes	
5		ivities column in the Statement of Net Assets greater students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent	3/16/2016 3:29:58 PM	Yes	
				1 Multiplie Sum	

•		:	
6	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)	8/4/2016 1:36:07 PM	10
7	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)		10
S	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)	8/4/2016 1:36:08 PM	2
9	Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?	8/4/2016 1:36:08 PM	10
10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)	8/4/2016 1:36:08 PM	10
11	Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)	3/16/2016 3:30:00 PM	10
12	Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)	3/16/2016 3:30:00 PM	10
13	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?	3/16/2016 3:30:01 PM	10
14	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)	3/25/2016 2:00:09 PM	10
15	Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	3/24/2016 4:27:28 PM	10
			92 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			92 Score

# **DETERMINATION OF RATING**

A. Did the district answer 'No' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, 5, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is F for Substance Achievement regardless of points earned.		the school district's rating is F for Substandard	
В.	Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)		
	A = Superior	70-100	
	B = Above Standard	50-69	

C = Meets Standard	31-49
F = Substandard Achievement	<31

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

Help

Home



**Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas** 

# 2015-2016 RATINGS BASED ON 2014-2015 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 1

Name:	WAXAHACHIE ISD (070912)		
Indicator:	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?		
Status	Passed		
Last Updated:	3/16/2016 3:29:57 PM		

# **FORMULA**

Field	Value	
Date Received	2015/12/17	
Due Date (Fiscal Year End + Deadline in Days After Fiscal Year End)	2016/02/28	

# **RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE**

This indicator will be considered PASSED if the audit report was on time or filed within 30 days of the deadline.

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

Help

Home



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

# 2015-2016 RATINGS BASED ON 2014-2015 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 2.A

Name:	WAXAHACHIE ISD (070912)		
Indicator:	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)		
Status	Passed		
Last Updated:	3/16/2016 3:29:57 PM		

### **FORMULA**

II.	Field	Value
	Unmodified Opinion	true

### RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

This indicator will be considered PASSED if the district received an unmodified opinion in the AFR.

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

Help

Home



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

# 2015-2016 RATINGS BASED ON 2014-2015 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 2.B

Name:	WAXAHACHIE ISD (070912)		
Indicator:	Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)		
Status	Passed		
Last Updated:	3/16/2016 3:29:57 PM		

# **FORMULA**

1	Field	Value	
Not	Weak Internal Controls	false	

# RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

This indicator will be considered PASSED if the external auditor reported no material weaknesses in the audit report.

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.texas.gov

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE - AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 - (512) 463-9734

Help

Home



**Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas** 

# 2015-2016 RATINGS BASED ON 2014-2015 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 3

Name:	WAXAHACHIE ISD (070912)		
Indicator:	Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)		
Status	Passed		
Last Updated:	3/16/2016 3:29:57 PM		

# **FORMULA**

	Field	Value	
Not	Default Disclosures	false	

# **RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE**

This indicator will be considered PASSED if there were no disclosures in the annual financial report and/or other sources of information concerning default on debt agreements.

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE - AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 - (512) 463-9734

Help

Home



**Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas** 

# 2015-2016 RATINGS BASED ON 2014-2015 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 4

Name:	WAXAHACHIE ISD (070912)
Indicator:	Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?
Status	Passed
Last Updated:	3/16/2016 3: 29: 58 PM

# **FORMULA**

Field	Value
Timely Payments to Government Agencies	true

# RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

This indicator will be considered PASSED if the district made timely payments to the TRS, TWC, IRS, and other government agencies.

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

Help

Home



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

# 2015-2016 RATINGS BASED ON 2014-2015 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 5

Name:	WAXAHACHIE ISD (070912)
Indicator:	Was the total unrestricted net asset balance (Net of the accretion of interest for capital appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Assets greater than zero? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.)
Status	Passed
Last Updated:	3/16/2016 3:29:58 PM

# **FORMULA**

	Field	Value	
(			
	2014-2015 Total Membership	7,947	
-	2010-2011 Total Membership	7,373	
)			
(	2010-2011 Total Membership	7,373	
>=	Threshold for Five-Year Percent Change in Students	0.1	
)			- 123
0r			
(			
( )			
	Total Unrestricted Net Asset Balance	-3,115,930	
+	Accretion of Interest for Capital Appreciation Bonds	29,582,318	
+	Pension Expense	575,019	
+	Net Pension Liability	6,220,974	
)		May 111 (11 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	
>	0		

# **RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE**

Mathematical Breakdown: 0.0779 >= 0.1 Or 33, 262, 381 > 0

This indicator will be considered PASSED if EITHER of the following CONDITIONS is TRUE:

- 1. The District's Five-Year Percent Change in Students was 10% or MORE.
- ---- OR ----
- 2. The sum of Total Unrestricted Net Asset Balance in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Assets, Accretion of Interest for Capital Appreciation Bonds, and Pension Expense and Net Pension Liability, as applicable, was GREATER THAN ZERO.

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

Help

Home



**Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas** 

# 2015-2016 RATINGS BASED ON 2014-2015 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 6

Name:	WAXAHACHIE ISD (070912)
Indicator:	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)
Result/Points	10
Last Updated:	8/4/2016 1:36:07 PM

### **FORMULA**

	Field	Value	
(			
	Cash and Equivalents	15,591,262	
+	Current Investments	5,000,000	
)			
(			
	Total Expenditures	70,912,931	
-	Facilities Acquisition and Construction	9,725,085	
)			
	365		
	- N		
M	athematical Breakdown: 122.8318		

# **RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE**

	INATION OF	1011113	1	1.	
10	8	6	4	2	0
>=90	<90 >=75	<74 >=60	<60 >=45	<45 >= 30	<30

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

Help

Home



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

# 2015-2016 RATINGS BASED ON 2014-2015 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 7

Name:	WAXAHACHIE ISD (070912)
Indicator:	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)
Result/Points	10
Last Updated:	6/30/2016 1:23:31 PM

# **FORMULA**

Field	Value	
Current Assets	100,534,110	
Current Liabilities	10,969,481	

Mathematical Breakdown: 9.1649

# RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

DETERMINATION OF POINTS					
10	8	6	4	2	0
=3.00	<3.00 >=2.50	<2.50>=2.00	<2.00 >=1.50	<1.50 >=1.00	<1.00

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE - AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 - (512) 463-9734

Help Home



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

# 2015-2016 RATINGS BASED ON 2014-2015 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 8

Name:	WAXAHACHIE ISD (070912)
Indicator	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)
Result/Points	2
Last Updated:	8/4/2016 1:36:08 PM

### **FORMULA**

Field	Value	
		<del></del>
Long Term Liabilities	227,678,321	
Net Pension Liability	6,220,974	
Total Assets	244,991,744	
1		
A STATE OF THE STA		
2015 Total Students	7,947	
2011 Total Students	7,373	
2011 Total Students	7,373	
Threshold for Five-Year Percent Change in Students	0.1	

# **RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE**

# **DETERMINATION OF POINTS**

10	8	6	4	2	0
<=0.60	>0.60 <= 0.70	>0.70 <=0.80	>0.80 <= 0.90	>0.90 <=1.00	>1.00

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

Helo

Hame



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

# 2015-2016 RATINGS BASED ON 2014-2015 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 9

Name:	WAXAHACHIE ISD (070912)
Indicator:	Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?
Result/Points	10
Last Updated:	8/4/2016 1:36:08 PM

# **FORMULA**

	Field	Value	
	Total Revenue	66,297,912	
(			
	Total Expenditures	70,912,931	
-	Facilities Acquisition and Construction	9,725,085	
)		7. 201	
	1		
=	0		
٢		Apple of the second sec	***************************************
/			
(	Cash and Equivalents	45.504.000	
		15,591,262	
+	Current Investments	5,000,000	
)			
(			
	Total Expenditures	70,912,931	
-	Facilities Acquisition and Construction	9,725,085	
)			
		- 1 to a state of the state of	
	365	***************************************	
=	Acceptable Days Cash on Hand	60	

# RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE DETERMINATION OF POINTS

10	0
>=0%	<0%

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · {512} 463-9734

Help

Home



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

# 2015-2016 RATINGS BASED ON 2014-2015 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 10

Name:	WAXAHACHIE ISD (070912)
Indicator:	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)
Result/Points	10
Last Updated:	8/4/2016 1:36:08 PM

# **FORMULA**

Value
74,135,102
81,025,913
11,167,362
1,046,738
9,725,085
11,167,362

# **RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE**

DETERMINATION OF POINTS					
10	8	6	4	2	0
>=1.20	<1.20 >=1.15	<1.15>=1.10	<1.10 >=1.05	<1.05 >= 1.00	<1.00

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE - AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

Help

Home



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

# 2015-2016 RATINGS BASED ON 2014-2015 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 11

Name:	WAXAHACHIE ISD (070912)
Indicator:	Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)
Result/Points	10
Last Updated:	3/16/2016 3:30:00 PN

# **FORMULA**

Field	Value	
District Administrative Cost Ratio	0.0632	
And		
ADA	7,534.44	
Or	10 M	
Sparse	FALSE	

# **RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE**

ADA Size	10	8	6	4	2	0
10,000 and	<=	> 0.0855 <=	> 0.1105 <=	> 0.1355 <=	> 0.1605 <=	>
Above	0.0855	0.1105	0.1355	0.1605	0.1855	0.1855
5,000 to	<=	> 0.1000 <=	> 0.1250 <=	> 0.1500 <=	> 0.1750 <=	>
9,999	0.1000	0.1250	0.1500	0.1750	0.2000	0.2000
1,000 to	<=	> 0.1151 <=	> 0.1401 <=	> 0.1651 <=	> 0.1901 <=	>
4,999	0.1151	0.1401	0.1651	0.1901	0.2151	0.2151
500 to 999	<=	> 0.1311 <=	> 0.1561 <=	> 0.1811 <=	> 0.2061 <=	>
	0.1311	0.1561	0.1811	0.2061	0.2311	0.2311
Less than	<=	> 0.2404 <=	> 0.2654 <=	> 0.2904 <=	> 0.3154 <=	>
500	0.2404	0.2654	0.2904	0.3154	0.3404	0.3404
Sparse	<=	> 0.3364 <=	> 0.3614 <=	> 0.3864 <=	> 0.4114 <=	>
	0.3364	0.3614	0.3864	0.4114	0.4364	0.4364

10/4/2016

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

Help

Home



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

# 2015-2016 RATINGS BASED ON 2014-2015 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR **TEST 12**

Name:	WAXAHACHIE ISD (070912)
Indicator:	Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)
Result/Points	10
Last Updated:	3/16/2016 3:30:00 PM

# **FORMULA**

	Field	Value	
(			
	2014-2015 Total Enrollment	7,968	
/	2014-2015 Number of FTE Staff	1,098.573	
)			
/			
(			
. 6	2012-2013 Total Enrollment	7,651	
/ :	2012-2013 Number of FTE Staff	1,060.3345	
)			
÷ i	1		
> `	Threshold for Three-Year Percent Change in Ratio	-0.15	
Or -			
1	2014-2015 Total Enrollment	7,968	
	2012-2013 Total Enrollment	7,651	0.00
> (			

# RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE

DETEKNITNALI	ON OF POINTS		
	10	0	
	Yes	No	

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.texas.gov

Help

Home



**Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas** 

# 2015-2016 RATINGS BASED ON 2014-2015 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 13

Name:	WAXAHACHIE ISD (070912)
Indicator:	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?
Result/Points	10
Last Updated:	3/16/2016 3:30:01 PM

# **FORMULA**

Field	Value	
Sum of Differences	84	
Denominator	70,912,931	
Acceptable Level of Variance	.03	
Mathematical Breakdown: 0 < 0.03		

# **RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE**

0	
>= 3%	

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.texas.gov

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE - AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 - (512) 463-9734

Help

Home



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

# 2015-2016 RATINGS BASED ON 2014-2015 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 14

Name:	WAXAHACHIE ISD (070912)
Indicator:	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)
Result/Points	10
Last Updated:	3/25/2016 2:00:09 PM

# **FORMULA**

1		Field	Value	
	Not	Material Non-Compliance	false	

# **RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE**

DETERMINATION OF POINTS	¥
10	0
Yes	No

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

Help

Home



**Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas** 

# 2015-2016 RATINGS BASED ON 2014-2015 SCHOOL YEAR DATA INDICATOR TEST 15

Name:	WAXAHACHIE ISD (070912)
Indicator:	Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?
Result/Points	10
Last Updated:	3/24/2016 4:27:28 PM

# **FORMULA**

Field	Value	
No Adjusted Repayment Schedule	true	
	true	

# **RESULT DETERMINATION REFERENCE**

DETERMINATION OF POINTS	
10	0
Yes	No

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

RATING YEAR 2014-2015

Select An Option

Heip

Home



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

# 2014-2015 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2013-2014 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: WAXAHACHIE ISD(070912)		Publication Level 1: 8/20/2015 11:24:40 AM			
Sta	atus: Passed	Publication Level 2: 8/20/2015 11:24:40 AM			
Ral	ting: Pass	Last Updated: 8/20/2015 11:24:40 AM			
Dis	trict Score: 30	Passing Score: 16			
#	Indicator Description		Updated	Score	
1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) a the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending June 30 or August 31, respectively?		4/6/2015 2:41:25 PM	Yes	
2	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)		4/6/2015 2:41:25 PM	Yes	
3	Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)		4/6/2015 2:41:25 PM	Yes	
4	Was the total unrestricted net asset balance (Net of the accretion of interest for capital appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Assets greater than zero? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.)		7/30/2015 12:17:12 PM	Yes	
				1 Multiplie Sum	
5	Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)		7/15/2015 11:50:46 AM	10	
5	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?		8/17/2015 5:46:47 PM	10	
7	Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)		4/6/2015 2:41:26 PM	10	

30 Weighted Sum
1 Multiplier Sum
30 Score

# **DETERMINATION OF RATING**

Α.	Did the district answer 'No' to Indicators 1, 2, 3, or 4? If so, the school district's rating is F for Su regardless of points earned.	bstandard Achievement								
В.	Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 5-7)									
	Pass	16-30								
	Substandard Achievement	<16								

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

# School FIRST Annual Financial Management Report WAXAHACHIE INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Title 19 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109, Budgeting, Accounting, and Auditing Subchapter AA,
Commissioner's Rules Concerning Financial Accountability Rating System, Section 109.1001(o). Effective 8/6/2015.
The template has been established to help the districts in gathering their data and presenting it at their School FIRST hearing. The template may not be all inclusive.

### **Superintendent's Current Employment Contract**

A copy of the superintendent's current employment contract at the time of the School FIRST hearing is to be provided. In lieu of publication in the annual School FIRST financial management report, the school district may chose to publish the superintendent's employment contract on the school district's Internet site.

If published on the Internet, the contract is to remain accessible for twelve months.

# Reimbursements Received by the Superintendent and Board Members

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2015

	Jeremy Glenn Evelyn Floyd		Gary Wess		Kim	James	Matt	Mark	Joe		
Description of Reimbursements	Superintendent	Coleman	Bates	Fox	Winn	Kriegel	Villarreal	Authier	Price	Langley	
Meals	\$ 95.61	\$ 81.00	\$ 81.00	\$ 81.00	\$ 81.00	\$ 96.00	\$ 81.00	\$ 81.00	\$ -	\$ 135.00	
Lodging	1,519.90	990.84	1,096.19	925.88	1,086.08	990.84	814.56	1,023.15	-	510.12	
Transportation	775.93	244.00	244.00	244.00	244.00	244.00	244.00	244.00	103.40	382.75	
Motor Fuel	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	
Other	375.03	375.03	375.03	375.03	375.03	700.03	375.03	375.03	325.00	350.00	
Total	\$2,766.47	\$1,690.87	\$1,796.22	\$1,625.91	\$1,786.11	\$2,030.87	\$1,514.59	\$1,723.18	\$428.40	\$1,377.87	

All "reimbursements" expenses, regardless of the manner of payment, including direct pay,

credit card, cash, and purchase order are to be reported. Items to be reported per category include:

Meals – Meals consumed out of town, and in-district meals at area restaurants (outside of board meetings, excludes catered board meeting meals).

Lodging - Hotel charges.

Transportation - Airfare, car rental (can include fuel on rental, taxis, mileage reimbursements, leased cars, parking and tolls). Motor fuel – Gasoline.

Other: - Registration fees, telephone/cell phone, internet service, fax machine, and other reimbursements (or on-behalf of) to the superintendent and board member not defined above.

# Outside Compensation and/or Fees Received by the Superintendent for Professional Consulting and/or Other Personal Services

For the Twelve-Month Period
Ended August 31, 2015

Name(s) of Entity(ies)

None

Total

Amount
Received
\$
-

Compensation does not include business revenues generated from a family business (farming, ranching, etc.) that has no relation to school district business.

Gifts Received by Executive Officers and Board Members (and First Degree Relatives, if any) (gifts that had an economic value of \$250 or more in the aggregate in the fiscal year)

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2015

-	Jeremy Glenn Superintendent	Evelyn Coleman	Floyd Bates	Gary Fox	Wess Winn	Kim Kriegel	James Villarreal	Matt Authier	Mark Price	Joe Langlev
None Total	\$	\$	\$	S	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
10101	<del></del>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<del></del>	Ψ	Ψ	Ψ	Ψ	Ψ

**Note** – An executive officer is defined as the superintendent, unless the board of trustees or the district administration names additional staff under this classification for local officials.

### **Business Transactions Between School District and Board Members**

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended or August 31, 2015

Evelyn		Floy	d	Gary		Wess	Kim		Ja	mes	Matt		Mar	k	Jo	oe .
 Coleman		Bate	S	Fox		Winn	Kriegel		Villa	arreal	Authier		Pric	e	Lan	gley
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 	-	\$	-	\$	-

**None** 

**Note -** The summary amounts reported under this disclosure are not to duplicate the items disclosed in the summary schedule of reimbursements received by board members.